arXiv:1406.1978v5 [math.AP] 9 Nov 2015

A CONDITIONAL REGULARITY RESULT FOR P-HARMONIC FLOWS

KRYSTIAN KAZANIECKI, MICHAŁ ŁASICA, KATARZYNA EWA MAZOWIECKA, AND PAWEŁ STRZELECKI

Abstract. We prove an ε-regularity result for a wide class of parabolic systems

$$u_t - \operatorname{div}\big(|\nabla u|^{p-2}\nabla u\big) = B(\,\cdot\,, u, \nabla u)$$

with the right hand side B growing critically, like $|\nabla u|^p$. It is assumed *a priori* that the solution $u(t,\cdot)$ is uniformly small in the space of functions of bounded mean oscillation. The crucial tool is provided by a sharp nonlinear version of the Gagliardo–Nirenberg inequality which has been used earlier in the elliptic context by T. Rivière and the last named author.

1. Introduction

It is very well-known that for second order nonlinear parabolic and elliptic systems with the right hand side growing critically — so that standard bootstrap methods do not yield any extra regularity of the solutions — regularity is a subtle issue. For some systems (e.g. harmonic maps from planar domains into Riemannian manifolds or the classic H-surfaces) all the solutions are regular, for others — classes of partially regular solutions, with some control of the size and structure of the singular set, coexist with wildly singular solutions. Again, harmonic maps into Riemannian manifolds provide a well-known example: minimizing and stationary solutions are partially regular, whereas on the other hand there are examples of everywhere discontinuous solutions; see e.g. [11] and [12] for more.

Regularity is often related to a special structure of the system (which allows one to exploit the compensation phenomena); a number of regularity results on harmonic or p-harmonic maps can be rephrased as follows: "once the solution is small in the space BMO of functions having bounded mean oscillation, it must be regular". In [19], T. Rivière and the last named author have shown that ε-regularity results of that type can be obtained *without* any assumptions on the structure of a degenerate elliptic system. Of course, there is *a small price to pay for beauty*[1]: one must a priori know that the solution is small in BMO *and* has second order distributional derivatives in L^p; on the other hand, even for the unconstrained p–Laplace system $\operatorname{div}(|\nabla u|^{p-2}\nabla u) = 0$ the $W^{2,p}$–regularity is not known.

In the present note, we provide a parabolic counterpart of the elliptic ε-regularity result from [19]. The main message is that if a solution $u(t,\cdot)$ is uniformly small in the space of functions of bounded mean oscillation *and* has second order spatial derivatives, then it must be regular. Because of both assumptions, our main result is only *conditional*, as the title indicates. (We hint below at a particular example where the first assumption alone implies the second one, but the whole situation is far from being fully understood.)

Let us now pass to more formal and precise statements.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 35K65, 35K92; Secondary: 35K55, 53C44.
Revised version of November 10, 2015.

[1]Familiarity with the topic, or with *Butch Cassidy and the Sundance Kid*, reveals the catch.

We study the system of nonlinear parabolic equations,

$$u_t - \operatorname{div}(|\nabla u|^{p-2}\nabla u) = B(\,\cdot\,, u, \nabla u)\,, \tag{1.1}$$

for a vector $u = (u^1, \dots u^N)$, given a vector $B = (B^1, \dots, B^N)$ with

$$u^k \colon (0,T] \times \Omega \to \mathbb{R}, \quad B^k \colon \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}^{Nm} \to \mathbb{R}, \qquad 1 \le k \le N,$$

where Ω stands for an open domain in $\mathbb{R}^m$. We restrict ourselves to the case $p \ge 2$. We assume that the functions B^k, prescribing the nonlinearity of the right hand side, satisfy the critical growth condition

$$|B^k(\,\cdot\,, u, \nabla u)| \le \Lambda |\nabla u|^p. \tag{1.2}$$

The study of regularity of weak solutions to equations involving the parabolic p-Laplace operator $u_t - \operatorname{div}(|\nabla u|^{p-2}\nabla u)$ was initiated by DiBenedetto and Friedman [8] (see also DiBenedetto's book [6] and the recent memoirs of Duzaar, Mingione and Steffen [9] and of Bögelein, Duzaar and Mingione [2]). Known explicit solutions to (1.1) with $B^k = 0$ show that even in this case no regularity higher than $C^{1,\alpha}$ can be expected. Such regularity was indeed shown in [8] for (1.1) with B^k satisfying at most

$$|B^k(\,\cdot\,, u, \nabla u)| \le \Lambda |\nabla u|^{p-1}. \tag{1.3}$$

However, the more restrictive growth assumption (1.3) is typically *not* satisfied in numerous inhomogeneous flows of geometric origin. The p-harmonic heat flow with values in a Riemannian manifold $\mathcal{N}$ (which is assumed to be isometrically embedded in some $\mathbb{R}^d$) is a prototype of (1.1); in this case

$$B(\,\cdot\,, u, \nabla u) = |\nabla u|^{p-2} A(u)(\nabla u, \nabla u), \tag{1.4}$$

where $A(u)(\cdot)$ is the second fundamental form of $\mathcal{N}$ at $u(\cdot)$. The interest in such systems arose in connection with the *homotopy problem for (p-)harmonic maps*, i. e. the problem of finding a (p-)harmonic map homotopic to a given map between smooth compact Riemannian manifolds $\mathcal{M}$ and $\mathcal{N}$.[2] In this context, with $m = 2,\ p = 2$, the flow of harmonic maps was investigated by Eells and Sampson [10], who proved the existence of global regular solutions under the assumption that $\mathcal{N}$ has nonpositive sectional curvature (and solved the homotopy problem in this case).

Without this assumption on the curvature of $\mathcal{N}$ one cannot expect global regularity. In the case $\mathcal{N} = \mathbb{S}^m,\ m > 3$ examples of evolutions with finite time blow-up were constructed (see [5], also [3] and references therein). Later, Struwe [20, 21] and Struwe in collaboration with Chen [4] considered weak solutions to the harmonic heat flow with values in arbitrary $\mathcal{N}$ and were able to show existence and bounds on the set of singularities. The crucial tool in their work (in case $m > 2$) was Struwe's monotonicity formula [21, Proposition 3.3.], which is only available if $p = 2$.

To the best of our knowledge, there is no fully general existence result for the flow of p-harmonic maps into an arbitrary compact manifold for $p > 2$. Partial results in this direction include the work of Misawa [18] which generalizes the classic work of Eells and Sampson ($p = 2$) obtaining global regular solutions for $\mathcal{N}$ of non-positive sectional curvature, and the paper [13] by Hungerbühler, where the existence of weak solutions is shown for $\mathcal{N}$ being a homogeneous space. In the latter case, the proof exploits symmetry of the image and no additional regularity is obtained. Finally, Hungerbühler [14] obtained the existence of global weak solutions (regular except a finite set of time instances) in the conformally invariant case $p = m$. (Also for $p = m$; Bögelein, Duzaar and Scheven [1] extend the results of [14] to domains with boundary, and construct a solution with Hölder continuous spatial gradient in

[2] Strictly speaking, in this case the p-Laplace operator has to be substituted with appropriate p-Laplace-Beltrami operator on $\mathcal{M}$.

the case when the target $\mathcal{N}$ has non-positive sectional curvature.) The proof in [14] is based on a local estimate on energy concentration together with a conditional a priori estimate that controls the norms of ∇u in higher L^q spaces allowing to bound ∇u in L^∞ via Moser iteration. Those estimates hold provided the m-energy is appropriately small,

$$\sup_{t,x} \int_{B_R(x)} |\nabla u(t,x)|^m dx < \varepsilon. \tag{1.5}$$

In the present paper, we obtain conditional estimates of a similar form, but instead of smallness of the energy (1.5), we assume smallness of local BMO norm of the solution (which, in light of the embedding $W^{1,m}$ into BMO in dimension m, is a weaker assumption). In particular, our method works for any $p > 2$ and (formally) we only need to control a norm of the solution and not of its derivatives. On the other hand, we have no proof of existence; therefore we do need the assumption that the solution actually exists in $C((0,T], L^2(\Omega)) \cap L^p((0,T], W^{2,p}(\Omega))$. Let us now state our results.

Theorem 1.1. *Assume that $u \in C((0,T], L^2(\Omega)) \cap L^p((0,T], W^{2,p}(\Omega))$, where Ω is an open domain in $\mathbb{R}^m$, is a weak solution to (1.1). Let $q > p$, $\Omega' \subset\subset \Omega$, $\delta > 0$. There exist a positive number $\varepsilon_0 = \varepsilon_0(\Omega, N, p, q, \Lambda)$ such that if*

$$\|u\|_{L^\infty((0,T], BMO(\Omega))} < \varepsilon_0 \tag{1.6}$$

then there holds

$$\|\nabla u\|_{L^q((\delta,T]\times\Omega')} \le C,$$

where $C = C(\Omega, N, p, q, \Lambda, \operatorname{dist}(\Omega', \partial\Omega), \delta)$.

Together with the work of DiBenedetto and Friedman, this theorem implies the following.

Theorem 1.2. *Assume that $u \in C((0,T], L^2(\Omega)) \cap L^p((0,T], W^{2,p}(\Omega))$ is a weak solution to (1.1). There exists a constant $\varepsilon_0 = \varepsilon_0(\Omega, m, p, \Lambda)$ such that if the condition*

$$\|u\|_{L^\infty((0,T], BMO(\Omega))} < \varepsilon_0$$

is satisfied, then $u \in C^{1,\alpha}_{\mathrm{loc}}((0,T] \times \Omega)$.

Remark 1.3. (1) It would be desirable to prove the above results under a somewhat different assumption that one deals with a weak solution

$$u \in L^p((0,T], W^{1,p}(\Omega)) \qquad \text{such that} \qquad |\nabla u|^{(p-2)/2}\nabla u \in L^2((0,T], W^{1,2}(\Omega))$$

which satisfies the smallness condition (1.6). One reason for this is that even in the case of $B = 0$, i.e. in the case of the pure parabolic p-Laplacian the existence of second spatial derivatives in L^p is unknown, whereas the existence of first spatial order derivatives of $|\nabla u|^{(p-2)/2}\nabla u$ can be shown, again for $B = 0$, by the standard method of difference quotients.[3]

However, despite some efforts, we were not able to achieve this. One of the technical difficulties behind the failure is hidden in the proof of the Caccioppoli inequality.

(2) On the other hand, we wish to observe that in some special cases, e.g. when $n = p = 2$ and $B \neq 0$ has a div–curl structure, as in the case of the flow of H-surfaces with constant H,

$$u_t = \Delta u - 2H u_x \wedge u_y,$$

[3]For this remark, we are indebted to Frank Duzaar and a discussion with him during the meeting of German and Polish Mathematical Societies.

where $2Hu_x \wedge u_y$ is a Jacobian-like term, the smallness condition (1.6) *alone* is sufficient to prove that ∇u is in L^2–$W^{1,2}$ locally (to this end, one employs the familiar methods based on the duality of Hardy space and BMO). In other words, in these cases one could remove the assumption on D^2u from the analogues of both of our theorems.

We do not know if there are any other, significantly different, examples of a similar phenomenon where smallness of a solution in L^∞–BMO *implies* the existence of second order spatial derivatives of this solution.

There are other conditional results, involving smallness assumptions in *stronger* norms but with no a priori assumption on the second derivatives of the solution. In this direction, Leone, Misawa and Verde [17] give a natural smallness condition in the L^∞–norm, yielding an estimate of the parabolic Hausdorff dimension of the singular set. Karim and Misawa [15] consider the case of $\frac{2m}{m+2} < p < 2$.

Finally, comparing our results with Kuusi and Mingione [16], we see that Corollary 1.2 is, on one hand, stronger than e.g. [16, Thm 1.3] which gives a borderline version of the L^∞–boundedness of Du from [6, Chapter VIII]. Even for solutions $u \in L^\infty((0,T], BMO \cap W^{2,p}(\Omega))$ the right hand side of (1.1) is formally only in L^2, and not in the Lorentz space $L^{m+2,1}$, as it is assumed in [16, Thm 1.3]. On the other hand, the smallness assumption (1.6) is pretty strong and seems to be restrictive – yet, in a sense, necessary: even in the elliptic case, e.g. for harmonic maps into Riemannian manifolds, an assumption of this kind is needed for regularity; it is well known that near an isolated singularity of a harmonic map $w\colon \mathbb{B}^3 \to SS^2$ the BMO norm of w is *not* small.

Our main technical tool is an interpolation inequality of Gagliardo-Nirenberg type, discovered by Rivière and the last named author of the present paper, see [19]. Let $\psi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^m)$ be fixed. Using $\mathcal{H}^1 - BMO$ duality, the authors of [19] proved the existence of a constant $C = C(m)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\mathbb{R}^m} \psi^{s+2}|\nabla u|^{s+2} \le Cs^2\|u\|^2_{BMO(\mathbb{R}^m)}\Big\{&\int_{\mathbb{R}^m} \psi^{s+2}|\nabla u|^{s-2}|\nabla^2 u|^2 \\ &+ \|\nabla\psi\|^2_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^m)}\int_{\mathbb{R}^m}\psi^s|\nabla u|^s\Big\}\end{aligned} \tag{1.7}$$

for any function $u \in W^{2,1}_{\mathrm{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^m) \cap BMO(\mathbb{R}^m)$ for which the right hand side is finite. A version of (1.7) in time-dependent setting follows immediately. Let now $\psi \in C_c^\infty((0,T]\times\mathbb{R}^m)$. Integrating (1.7) over time yields

$$\begin{aligned}\int_0^T\int_{\mathbb{R}^m} \psi^{s+2}|\nabla u|^{s+2} \le Cs^2\|u\|^2_{L^\infty((0,T],BMO(\mathbb{R}^m))}&\\ \cdot\Big\{\int_0^T\int_{\mathbb{R}^m} \psi^{s+2}|\nabla u|^{s-2}|\nabla^2 u|^2 + \|\nabla\psi\|^2_{L^\infty((0,T]\times\mathbb{R}^m)}\int_0^T\int_{\mathbb{R}^m}\psi^s|\nabla u|^s\Big\}&\end{aligned} \tag{1.8}$$

for any $u \in L^1((0,T], W^{2,1}_{\mathrm{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^m)) \cap L^\infty((0,T], BMO(\mathbb{R}^m))$ such that the right hand side is finite. This inequality allows us to control the right hand side of (1.1) provided that the smallness condition from Theorem 1.1 is satisfied. This part of our work is in fact a parabolic version of [19]. Having obtained such conditional bounds on sufficiently high local L^q norms of the gradient, one may then use known results of [6] to obtain Theorem 1.2 (see Section 3.2).

Notation. We denote by $BMO(\Omega)$ the space of functions on a given domain Ω of *bounded mean oscillation*, with the seminorm

$$\|f\|_{BMO(\Omega)} := \sup_Q \left(\fint_Q |f(y) - f_Q|dy\right) < \infty,$$

the supremum being taken over all cubes Q in Ω, where f_Q denotes the average of f on Q, i.e. $\fint_Q f dx = |Q|^{-1}\int_Q f dx$, $|Q|$ being the Lebesgue measure of Q. Given $t \in (0,T]$, we write and Ω_t for the cylindrical domain $(0,t]\times\Omega$.

2. Caccioppoli inequality

In order to obtain L^q estimates in the homogeneous case (i. e. the right hand side of (1.1) equal 0) DiBenedetto and Friedman [7] tested the system with $\zeta^2|\nabla u|^{2\alpha}\nabla u$, where ζ is a suitably chosen smooth cutoff function. The same standard test functions were used by Hungerbühler in the conformally invariant case and by Rivière and the last author in the elliptic case.

We modify their derivation obtaining the following Caccioppoli inequality for derivatives of solutions of (1.1).

Lemma 2.1. *Assume that $u \in C((0,T],L^2(\Omega))\cap L^p((0,T],W^{2,p}(\Omega))$ is a weak solution of (1.1). Let $\zeta \in C_c^\infty((0,T]\times\Omega)$ and set $w := |\nabla u|^2$. There exists a constant $C_1 = C_1(m,N,p,\Lambda)$ such that for each $\alpha \geq 0$ we have*

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{2+2\alpha}\operatorname*{ess\,sup}_{t\in(0,T]}\int_\Omega \zeta(t,\cdot)^2 w^{1+\alpha} + \frac{p-2+\alpha}{8}\iint_{\Omega_T}\zeta^2 w^{\frac{p}{2}-2+\alpha}|\nabla w|^2 \\ +\frac{1}{2}\iint_{\Omega_T}\zeta^2 w^{\frac{p}{2}-1+\alpha}|\nabla^2 u|^2 \leq \left(\frac{2(p-1)^2}{p-2+2\alpha}+\frac{1}{2}\right)\iint_{\Omega_T}|\nabla\zeta|^2 w^{\frac{p}{2}+\alpha} \\ +\frac{1}{1+\alpha}\iint_{\Omega_T}\zeta\zeta_t w^{1+\alpha} + C_1(p+\alpha)\iint_{\Omega_T}\zeta^2 w^{\frac{p}{2}+1+\alpha},\end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

provided the right hand side is finite.

Proof. We would like to take the spatial derivative of (1.1) and test the resulting equation with $\zeta^2|\nabla u|^{2\alpha}\nabla u$. However, this is not an admissible test function due to lack of time regularity. To circumvent this difficulty, we exploit the standard technique of time mollification by Steklov averages.

First, note that there exists $\delta \in (0,T)$ such that $\operatorname{supp}\zeta \in (2\delta,T]\times\Omega$. For any given $0<h<\delta$, by I_h we denote the operator of Steklov average, i. e.

$$I_h(u)(t,\cdot) = \frac{1}{h}\int_{t-h}^t u.$$

If X is a Banach space, $q>1$, $t>0$, I_h maps $L^q((0,t],X)$ to $W^{1,q}((\delta,t],X)$ and $I_h(u)\to u$ in $L^q((\delta,t],X)$ as $h\to 0^+$.

We apply I_h to the weak formulation of (1.1) and differentiate both sides with respect to x_j. Testing the resulting equation with $\varphi_h^{ij} = \zeta^2|I_h\nabla u|^{2\alpha}I_h\frac{\partial u^i}{\partial x_j}$ we obtain, for any $t>\delta$ (indices i,j are summed),

$$\begin{aligned}\iint_{\Omega_t}\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left(\frac{\partial I_h u^i}{\partial x_j}\right)\varphi_h^{ij} \\ +\iint_{\Omega_t} I_h\left[|\nabla u|^{p-2}\nabla\left(\frac{\partial u^i}{\partial x_j}\right)+\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}\left(|\nabla u|^{p-2}\right)\nabla u^i\right]\cdot\nabla\varphi_h^{ij} \\ = -\iint_{\Omega_t}\frac{\partial\varphi_h^{ij}}{\partial x_j}I_h B^i(x,u,\nabla u).\end{aligned} \tag{2.2}$$

We first deal with the term in (2.2) containing the time derivative. We have

$$\begin{aligned}(2.3)\quad \iint_{\Omega_t}\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left(\frac{\partial I_h u^i}{\partial x_j}\right)\varphi_h^{ij} &= \frac{1}{2+2\alpha}\iint_{\Omega_t}\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left(|I_h\nabla u|^{2+2\alpha}\right)\zeta^2\\ &= \frac{1}{2+2\alpha}\int_\Omega |I_h\nabla u|^{2+2\alpha}\zeta^2(t,\cdot) - \frac{1}{1+\alpha}\iint_{\Omega_t}|I_h\nabla u|^{2+2\alpha}\zeta\frac{\partial\zeta}{\partial t}.\end{aligned}$$

The second term on the right hand side of (2.3) clearly converges as $h\to 0^+$ to respective non-averaged expression, as do the last two integrals in (2.2). As all of them are bounded independently of $t\in(\delta,T]$, we deduce from (2.2) and (2.3) that $t\mapsto\int_\Omega|\nabla u|^{2+2\alpha}\zeta^2(t,\cdot)$ belongs to $L^\infty((\delta,T])$ and, for almost every $t\in(\delta,T]$, is equal to the limit (as $h\to 0$) of the first integral on the right hand side of (2.3). Eventually, passing to the limit in (2.2), we obtain for almost all $t\in(\delta,T]$

$$\begin{aligned}(2.4)\quad &\frac{1}{2+2\alpha}\int_\Omega|\nabla u|^{2+2\alpha}\zeta^2(t,\cdot) - \frac{1}{1+\alpha}\iint_{\Omega_t}|\nabla u|^{2+2\alpha}\zeta\frac{\partial\zeta}{\partial t}\\ &\quad+\iint_{\Omega_t}\left[|\nabla u|^{p-2}\nabla\left(\frac{\partial u^i}{\partial x_j}\right)+\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}\left(|\nabla u|^{p-2}\right)\nabla u^i\right]\cdot\nabla\varphi^{ij}\\ &\qquad= -\iint_{\Omega_t}\frac{\partial\varphi^{ij}}{\partial x_j}B^i(x,u,\nabla u),\end{aligned}$$

where φ^{ij} denotes $\zeta^2|\nabla u|^{2\alpha}\frac{\partial u^i}{\partial x_j}$.

We now estimate the left and right hand side of (2.4) separately.

Left hand side of (2.4). A routine but somewhat tedious computation leads to the following two equalities:

$$\begin{aligned}(2.5)\quad \iint_{\Omega_t}|\nabla u|^{p-2}\nabla\left(\frac{\partial u^i}{\partial x_j}\right)\cdot\nabla\varphi^{ij} &= \iint_{\Omega_t}\zeta^2 w^{\frac{p-2}{2}+\alpha}\left|\nabla\left(\frac{\partial u^i}{\partial x_j}\right)\right|^2\\ &\quad+\frac{\alpha}{2}\iint_{\Omega_t}\zeta^2 w^{\frac{p-2}{2}-1+\alpha}|\nabla w|^2+\iint_{\Omega_t}\zeta(\nabla\zeta\cdot\nabla w)w^{\frac{p-2}{2}+\alpha}\\ &=: I_1+I_2+I_3\,;\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}(2.6)\quad &\iint_{\Omega_t}\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}\left(|\nabla u|^{p-2}\right)\nabla u^i\cdot\nabla\varphi^{ij}\\ &\qquad=\frac{p-2}{4}\iint_{\Omega_t}\zeta^2 w^{\frac{p-2}{2}-1+\alpha}|\nabla w|^2\\ &\qquad\quad+\frac{(p-2)\alpha}{2}\iint_{\Omega_t}\zeta^2 w^{\frac{p-2}{2}-2+\alpha}\sum_i\left(\nabla w\cdot\nabla u^i\right)^2\\ &\qquad\quad+(p-2)\iint_{\Omega_t}\zeta w^{\frac{p-2}{2}-1+\alpha}\sum_i(\nabla\zeta\cdot\nabla u^i)(\nabla w\cdot\nabla u^i)\\ &\qquad=: I_4+I_5+I_6.\end{aligned}$$

Using Cauchy's inequality with ε: $ab\le\frac{\varepsilon^2a^2}{2}+\frac{b^2}{2\varepsilon^2}$, we estimate

$$\begin{aligned}(2.7)\quad |I_3|+|I_6| &\le (p-1)\iint_{\Omega_t}\zeta|\nabla\zeta|\,|\nabla w|w^{\frac{p-2}{2}+\alpha}\\ &\le\frac{(p-1)\varepsilon^2}{2}\iint_{\Omega_t}\zeta^2 w^{\frac{p-2}{2}-1+\alpha}|\nabla w|^2+\frac{p-1}{2\varepsilon^2}\iint_{\Omega_t}|\nabla\zeta|^2 w^{\frac{p}{2}+\alpha}\,,\end{aligned}$$

splitting the integrand so that the term with $|\nabla w|^2$ can be absorbed in I_2+I_4. Choosing ε^2 so that $(p-1)\varepsilon^2/2=(p-2+2\alpha)/8$, and combining (2.5), (2.6) and

(2.7), we obtain finally

$$\begin{aligned}\text{left hand side of (2.4)} \geq{}& \frac{1}{2+2\alpha}\int_\Omega w^{1+\alpha}\zeta^2(t,\cdot) - \frac{1}{1+\alpha}\iint_{\Omega_t} w^{1+\alpha}\zeta\frac{\partial\zeta}{\partial t}\\ &+ \frac{p-2+2\alpha}{8}\iint_{\Omega_t}\zeta^2 w^{\frac{p-2}{2}-1+\alpha}|\nabla w|^2\\ &+ \iint_{\Omega_t}\zeta^2 w^{\frac{p-2}{2}+\alpha}|\nabla u^i_{x_j}|^2\\ &+ \frac{(p-2)\alpha}{2}\iint_{\Omega_t}\zeta^2 w^{\frac{p-2}{2}-2+\alpha}\sum_i\left(\nabla w\cdot\nabla u^i\right)^2\\ &- \frac{2(p-1)^2}{p-2+2\alpha}\iint_{\Omega_t}|\nabla\zeta|^2 w^{\frac{p}{2}+\alpha}.\end{aligned} \tag{2.8}$$

Right hand side of (2.4). Using the growth condition $|B(x,u,\nabla u)|\leq\Lambda|\nabla u|^p$, we write

$$\left|\iint_{\Omega_t}\frac{\partial\varphi^{ij}}{\partial x_j}B^i(x,u,\nabla u)\right| \leq C(J_1+J_2+J_3)\,, \tag{2.9}$$

where the constant $C=C(m,N,\Lambda)$ and

$$\begin{aligned}J_1 = \iint_{\Omega_t}\zeta^2 w^{\frac{p}{2}+\alpha}\left|\nabla u^i_{x_j}\right| \qquad J_2 &= \alpha\iint_{\Omega_t}\zeta^2 w^{\frac{p-1}{2}+\alpha}\,|\nabla w|\\ J_3 &= \iint_{\Omega_t}\zeta|\nabla\zeta| w^{\frac{p+1}{2}+\alpha}.\end{aligned} \tag{2.10}$$

Set

$$J_0 \colon = \iint_{\Omega_t}\zeta^2 w^{\frac{p}{2}+1+\alpha}\,.$$

To absorb all terms that contain second order derivatives of u, we again apply the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality in a familiar way and obtain

$$\begin{aligned}J_1 &\leq \frac{\varepsilon_1^2}{2}\iint_{\Omega_t}\zeta^2 w^{\frac{p-2}{2}+\alpha}\left|\nabla u^i_{x_j}\right|^2 + \frac{1}{2\varepsilon_1^2}J_0\,,\\ J_2 &\leq \frac{\alpha\varepsilon_2^2}{2}\iint_{\Omega_t}\zeta^2 w^{\frac{p}{2}-2+\alpha}\,|\nabla w|^2 + \frac{\alpha}{2\varepsilon_2^2}J_0\,.\end{aligned}$$

Finally,

$$J_3 \leq \frac{C}{2}J_0 + \frac{1}{2C}\iint_{\Omega_t}|\nabla\zeta|^2 w^{\frac{p}{2}+\alpha}\,. \tag{2.11}$$

Making appropriate choices of $\varepsilon_1,\varepsilon_2>0$, we combine the estimates of J_1,J_2,J_3 with (2.8) and, taking supremum of both sides over $t\in(0,T]$, complete the proof of the lemma. □

3. Gradient estimates

This section contains proofs of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2. The high integrability of solution to (1.1) that we seek will eventually follow upon the iterations of the Caccioppoli inequality (2.1) combined with a parabolic version of the Sobolev inequality,

$$\begin{aligned}\iint_{(\delta,T]\times\Omega'}|w|^{\frac{p+2\alpha}{2}+\frac{2}{m}(1+\alpha)} \leq{}& C(m)\operatorname*{ess\,sup}_{t\in(\delta,T]}\left(\int_{\Omega'}|w(t,\cdot)|^{1+\alpha}\right)^{\frac{2}{m}}\\ &\cdot\left(\iint_{(\delta,T]\times\Omega'}\left|\nabla w^{\frac{p+2\alpha}{4}}\right|^2 + c_{\Omega'}\iint_{(\delta,T]\times\Omega'}|w|^{\frac{p+2\alpha}{2}}\right),\end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

which holds for any $\delta > 0$, any smooth bounded $\Omega' \Subset \Omega$, and will be applied to $w = |w| = |\nabla u|^2$. Recall that inequality (3.1) is obtained by an application of Hölder inequality and Sobolev embedding[4] $W^{1,2} \hookrightarrow L^{\frac{2m}{m-2}}$ in dimension m,

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\Omega'} |w|^{\frac{p+2\alpha}{2}+\frac{2}{m}(1+\alpha)} &\leq \left(\int_{\Omega'} |w|^{1+\alpha}\right)^{\frac{2}{m}} \left(\int_{\Omega'} |w|^{\frac{p+2\alpha}{4}\cdot\frac{2m}{m-2}}\right)^{\frac{m-2}{2m}\cdot 2} \\ &\leq C(m)\left(\int_{\Omega'} |w|^{1+\alpha}\right)^{\frac{2}{m}} \left(\int_{\Omega'} \left|\nabla w^{\frac{p+2\alpha}{4}}\right|^2 + c_{\Omega'}\int_{\Omega'} |w|^{\frac{p+2\alpha}{2}}\right),\end{aligned}$$

and integrating the result over the time interval $(\delta, T]$. We also note that if Ω' is a cube or a ball, then the above inequalities hold with

$$c_{\Omega'} = (\operatorname{diam}\Omega')^{-2}. \tag{3.2}$$

However, it is easy to see that if the exponent α is small, then the combined inequalities (Caccioppoli and 'parabolic' Sobolev) do not yield any increase in integrability of w. This is caused precisely by the critical term (3.3), with $|\nabla u|^{p+2+2\alpha} = w^{\frac{p}{2}+1+\alpha}$. Therefore, we need first to control ∇u in a sufficiently high L^q space. This is why some smallness assumption is required for our assertions to hold. We exploit such an assumption through a bootstrap procedure involving a finite number of applications of the interpolation inequality (1.8) to control the 'bad' term

$$C_1(p+\alpha)\iint_{\Omega_T} \zeta^2 w^{\frac{p}{2}+1+\alpha} \tag{3.3}$$

on the right hand side of the Caccioppoli inequality.

Once the threshold level of $q = p(m+2)$ is exceeded, the assertion of Theorem 1.2 may be deduced from DiBenedetto's book.

We set forth all the details below, starting with a parabolic version of the 'elliptic' argument from [19].

3.1. L^q **estimate for** ∇u**.** We now explain how to iterate the Caccioppoli inequality, using the Gagliardo–Nirenberg inequality at each step. Let C_1 and C_2 denote the constants from Caccioppoli inequality (2.1) and the interpolation inequality (1.8), respectively. Fix a sufficiently large number $\alpha_{\max}$ that shall be specified later.

We need the following smallness condition:

$$C_1C_2(p+2\alpha)^3\|u\|^2_{L^\infty((0,T],BMO(\Omega))} \leq \frac{1}{2}, \tag{3.4}$$

for every $\alpha \in [0, \alpha_{\max}]$. We choose two nonnegative functions $\zeta, \psi \in C_c^\infty(\Omega_T)$ so that $\psi \equiv 1$ on $(\delta, T] \times \Omega'$ and

$$\zeta^2 = \psi^{p+2+2\alpha}, \quad 0 \leq \zeta \leq 1, \quad |\nabla\zeta| \leq 2\operatorname{dist}(\Omega', \partial\Omega)^{-1}, \quad |\zeta_t| \leq 2\delta^{-1}. \tag{3.5}$$

We set $s = p + 2\alpha$ in the interpolation inequality (1.8) and use it to estimate the bad term (3.3).

[4]We tacitly assume here that $m > 2$; for $m = 2$ the exponent $2m/(m-2)$ can be replaced by any $s \in (2, \infty)$.

Due to smallness condition (3.4) we get

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{2+2\alpha}\operatorname*{ess\,sup}_{t\in(0,T]}\int_\Omega \zeta(t,\cdot)^2 w^{1+\alpha}+\frac12\iint_{\Omega_T}\zeta^2 w^{\frac{p}{2}-1+\alpha}|\nabla^2 u|^2\\ +\frac{p-2+\alpha}{8}\iint_{\Omega_T}\zeta^2 w^{\frac{p}{2}-2+\alpha}\,|\nabla w|^2\\ \le\left(\frac{2(p-1)^2}{p-2+2\alpha}+\frac12\right)\iint_{\Omega_T}|\nabla\zeta|^2 w^{\frac{p}{2}+\alpha}+\frac{1}{1+\alpha}\iint_{\Omega_T}\zeta\zeta_t w^{1+\alpha}\\ +\frac12\|\nabla\psi\|^2_{L^\infty(\Omega_T)}\iint_{\Omega_T}\psi^{p+2\alpha}w^{\frac{p}{2}+\alpha}+\frac12\iint_{\Omega_T}\zeta^2 w^{\frac{p}{2}-1+\alpha}|\nabla^2 u|^2.\end{aligned}\tag{3.6}$$

The last term in the right-hand side cancels with the matching term in the left hand side. Invoking the properties of ζ and ψ, cf. (3.5), and remembering that

$$\left(\frac{p+2\alpha}{4}\right)^2 w^{\frac{p}{2}-2+\alpha}\,|\nabla w|^2=\left|\nabla w^{\frac{p+2\alpha}{4}}\right|^2,$$

we obtain from (3.6), upon multiplication by $2(1+\alpha)$,

$$\begin{aligned}\operatorname*{ess\,sup}_{t\in(\delta,T]}\int_{\Omega'} w^{1+\alpha}+\iint_{(\delta,T]\times\Omega'}\left|\nabla w^{\frac{p+2\alpha}{4}}\right|^2\\ \le\gamma(1+\alpha)\left(\iint_{\Omega_T} w^{\frac{p}{2}+\alpha}+\iint_{\Omega_T} w^{1+\alpha}\right),\end{aligned}\tag{3.7}$$

where γ stands for a generic constant which may depend on Ω, T, m, p, δ, and Ω'. Inequality (3.7), combined with the parabolic Sobolev embedding (3.1), leads to the estimate

$$\begin{aligned}\left(\iint_{(\delta,T]\times\Omega'}|\nabla u|^{p+2\alpha+\frac{4}{m}(1+\alpha)}\right)^{\frac{1}{\kappa}}\\ \le\gamma(1+\alpha)\left(\iint_{\Omega_T}|\nabla u|^{p+2\alpha}+\iint_{\Omega_T}|\nabla u|^{2+2\alpha}\right),\end{aligned}\tag{3.8}$$

where $\kappa=1+\frac{2}{m}$ (and γ could change). Now, applying Hölder inequality to replace $2+2\alpha$ by $p+2\alpha$ in the second exponent of the right hand side, we obtain, adjusting the constant γ again,

$$\begin{aligned}\left(\iint_{(\delta,T]\times\Omega'}|\nabla u|^{p+2\alpha+\frac{4}{m}(1+\alpha)}\right)^{\frac{1}{\kappa}}\\ \le\gamma(1+\alpha)\left(\iint_{\Omega_T}|\nabla u|^{p+2\alpha}+\left(\iint_{\Omega_T}|\nabla u|^{p+2\alpha}\right)^{\frac{2+2\alpha}{p+2\alpha}}\right).\end{aligned}\tag{3.9}$$

We iterate this inequality finitely many times, starting from $\alpha=0$. After each step we obtain higher local integrability of ∇u (on a smaller domain). To achieve the desired goal, we choose $\alpha_{\max}$ large enough to get $\nabla u\in L^q_{\rm loc}(\Omega_T)$ for the given value of q. Since the time instant $\delta>0$ above can be arbitrary, Theorem 1.1 follows.

3.2. L^∞ **estimates for** ∇u**.** By Theorem 1.1, we have $|\nabla u|\in L^{q'}$ for some $q'>p(m+2)$; thus, setting $C_1=0$ and $\varphi_2:=\Lambda|\nabla u|^p$ in the structure condition (S_5) in [6, Chapter VIII, p. 217–218], we obtain $\varphi_2^2\in L^q$ for $q=q'/(2p)>(m+2)/2$, so that the structure assumption (S_6) in [6, Chapter VIII, p. 217–218] is satisfied. Now, [6, Lemma 4.2, p.234] implies that ∇u is locally bounded, and by [6, Theorem 1.1', p.256] ∇u is locally Hölder continuous. Theorem 1.2 follows.

Acknowledgements. The authors are grateful to Frank Duzaar for his comments on an earlier version of this paper (see Remark 1.3). They are also grateful to the anonymous referee, for his/her remarks that helped to improve the presentation and fix a gap in the proof of the Caccioppoli inequality.

The first three named authors would like to thank Piotr B. Mucha for acquainting them with the subject of regularity for parabolic p-Laplace equation.

The work of K. Mazowiecka and P. Strzelecki on this project has been partially supported by the NCN grant no. 2012/07/B/ST1/03366.

References

[1] Verena Bögelein, Frank Duzaar, and Christoph Scheven. Global solutions to the heat flow for m-harmonic maps and regularity. *Indiana Univ. Math. J.*, 61(6):2157–2210, 2012.

[2] Verena Bögelein, Frank Duzaar, and Giuseppe Mingione. The regularity of general parabolic systems with degenerate diffusion. *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 221(1041):vi+143, 2013.

[3] Kung-Ching Chang, Wei Yue Ding, and Rugang Ye. Finite-time blow-up of the heat flow of harmonic maps from surfaces. *J. Differential Geom.*, 36(2):507–515, 1992.

[4] Yun Mei Chen and Michael Struwe. Existence and partial regularity results for the heat flow for harmonic maps. *Math. Z.*, 201(1):83–103, 1989.

[5] Jean-Michel Coron and Jean-Michel Ghidaglia. Explosion en temps fini pour le flot des applications harmoniques. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math.*, 308(12):339–344, 1989.

[6] Emmanuele DiBenedetto. *Degenerate parabolic equations*. Universitext. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1993.

[7] Emmanuele DiBenedetto and Avner Friedman. Regularity of solutions of nonlinear degenerate parabolic systems. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 349:83–128, 1984.

[8] Emmanuele DiBenedetto and Avner Friedman. Hölder estimates for nonlinear degenerate parabolic systems. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 357:1–22, 1985.

[9] Frank Duzaar, Giuseppe Mingione, and Klaus Steffen. Parabolic systems with polynomial growth and regularity. *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 214(1005):x+118, 2011.

[10] James Eells, Jr. and J. H. Sampson. Harmonic mappings of Riemannian manifolds. *Amer. J. Math.*, 86:109–160, 1964.

[11] Robert M. Hardt. Singularities of harmonic maps. *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.)*, 34(1):15–34, 1997.

[12] Frédéric Hélein. *Harmonic maps, conservation laws and moving frames*, volume 150 of *Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, Second edition, 2002.

[13] Norbert Hungerbühler. Global weak solutions of the p-harmonic flow into homogeneous spaces. *Indiana Univ. Math. J.*, 45(1):275–288, 1996.

[14] Norbert Hungerbühler. m-harmonic flow. *Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci. (4)*, 24(4):593–631 (1998), 1997.

[15] Corina Karim and Masashi Misawa. Hölder regularity for singular parabolic systems of p-Laplacian type. *Adv. Differential Equations*, 20(7-8):741–772, 2015.

[16] Tuomo Kuusi and Giuseppe Mingione. Potential estimates and gradient boundedness for nonlinear parabolic systems. *Rev. Mat. Iberoam.*, 28(2):535–576, 2012.

[17] Chiara Leone, Masashi Misawa, and Anna Verde. The regularity for nonlinear parabolic systems of p-Laplacian type with critical growth. *J. Differential Equations*, 256(8):2807–2845, 2014.

[18] Masashi Misawa. Existence and regularity results for the gradient flow for p-harmonic maps. *Electron. J. Differential Equations*, pages No. 36, 17 pp. (electronic), 1998.

[19] Tristan Rivière and Paweł Strzelecki. A sharp nonlinear Gagliardo-Nirenberg-type estimate and applications to the regularity of elliptic systems. *Comm. Partial Differential Equations*, 30(4-6):589–604, 2005.

[20] Michael Struwe. On the evolution of harmonic mappings of Riemannian surfaces. *Comment. Math. Helv.*, 60(4):558–581, 1985.

[21] Michael Struwe. On the evolution of harmonic maps in higher dimensions. *J. Differential Geom.*, 28(3):485–502, 1988.

Krystian Kazaniecki
Institute of Mathematics, University of Warsaw
Banacha 2, 02–097 Warszawa, Polska
E-mail address: K.Kazaniecki@mimuw.edu.pl

Michał Łasica
Institute of Applied Mathematics and Mechanics, University of Warsaw
Banacha 2, 02–097 Warszawa, Polska
E-mail address: M.Lasica@mimuw.edu.pl

Katarzyna Ewa Mazowiecka
Institute of Mathematics, University of Warsaw
Banacha 2, 02–097 Warszawa, Polska
E-mail address: K.Mazowiecka@mimuw.edu.pl

Paweł Strzelecki
Institute of Mathematics, University of Warsaw
Banacha 2, 02–097 Warszawa, Polska
E-mail address: P.Strzelecki@mimuw.edu.pl